

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9693	
		Charles L Bush JR.	P-4615.70		
7:	590 09/30/2003				
Alan W Fiedle	er	EXAMINER			
Becton Dickinson and Company 1 Beacon Drive Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417-1880			SIRMONS, KEVIN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763	-1	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	- 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,				,		J
* خمر			Application f	Vo.	Applicant(s)	
			10/049,655	••	BUSH ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	•	Examiner		Art Unit	
			Kevin C. Sirn	nons	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication a	ppears on th co	ver sheet with the	e correspondence add	dress
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI isions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this conperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months	NICATION is of 37 CFR imunication. (30) days, a ristatutory period by will, by stat	N. 1.136(a). In no event, heply within the statutory od will apply and will expute, cause the application.	nowever, may a reply be minimum of thirty (30) o bire SIX (6) MONTHS fr on to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
earne	epry received by the Onice later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	alter the mar	ming date of this commu	meation, even in timesy i	ned, may reduce any	
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on :1	5 October 2002			
2a)□	This action is FINAL .		This action is no	n-final		
<i>'</i> _	Since this application is in condition	,—			prospecution as to the	a marite is
3) [closed in accordance with the pra on of Claims					e mems is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the	applicatio	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withd	rawn from consid	deration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and	l/or election requ	irement.		
Applicati	on Papers				•	
9)□ '	The specification is objected to by t	ne Exami	ner.			
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	:: a) <u>□</u> ac	cepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any o	ojection to	the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction fil	ed on	is: a)∏ appr	oved b) disap _l	proved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are r	equired in	reply to this Office	action.		
12) 🔲 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected	o by the	Examiner.			•
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				·	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for fore	ign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priorit	y docume	ents have been re	eceived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priorit	y docume	ents have been re	eceived in Applic	ation No	
* ç	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Inte See the attached detailed Office act	national I	Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		Stage
	acknowledgment is made of a claim			•		application)
a) The translation of the foreign lands and the foreign lands are the common to the foreign lands are the fore	inguage p	orovisional applic	ation has been r	eceived.	approximation,
Attachmen	•		. ,	00 ·		
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		•	Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(all Patent Application (PTC	
S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As to claim 2, it is unclear what the applicant regards as the automatic mechanism. Are the automatic mechanism and the mechanism that automatically disengages the drive mechanism one in the same or different structural elements? The examiner will regard an automatic mechanism as threads for the purpose of examination. Threads allow the user to easily load a new cartridge and automatically reposition the drive mechanism on the plunger when the cartridge holder is mounted on the body of the medication delivery pen (figs. 2-4).

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: An automatic mechanism as indicated in claim 2 does not have a reference numeral in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3763

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the claims: an automatic mechanism as described in claim 2. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chanoch U.S. Pat. No. 5,688,251.

Chanoch discloses a medication delivery pen comprising: a body having opposing proximal and distal ends (100); a dose control mechanism (400) disposed in the proximal end of the body (figs. 2, 3 and 6); a cartridge holder (300) having a cartridge (350) with a pierceably sealed (353) distal end, an open proximal end (301) removably attachable to the distal end of the body, and a plunger (355) in sliding fluid tight engagement within said cartridge; a drive mechanism (120) coupled between the dose control mechanism and the cartridge to exert an axial force on the plunger to inject the set dosage of medication (figs. 2 and 3),

Art Unit: 3763

wherein the doses control mechanism (400) triggers the drive mechanism (120) to administer the injection medication held in the cartridge (figs. 2 and 3); and a mechanism (160 and lines 38-62 of claim 1) that automatically disengages the drive mechanism from the dose control mechanism to permit the use to reset the dosage on the medication delivery pen (160 and lines 38-62 of claim 1); as to claim 2, (105).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chanoch in view of Jordan et al U.S. Pat. No. 4,858,607.

Chanoch discloses the medication delivery pen substantially as claimed except for a magnifier on the body. Jordan discloses a magnifier (49) on the syringe scale. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Chanoch to have a magnifier on the body as disclosed by Jordan so that the dose setting may be better viewed (col. 3, lines 9-12).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 3763

Kevin C. Sirmons Patent Examiner

9/19/03